INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP03/16809

A. CLASS	IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C1 ⁷ G03B5/00, H04N5/232				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	SEARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl ⁷ G03B5/00, H04N5/222-5/257					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2004 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2004					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X Y	<pre>JP 11-101998 A (Nikon Corp.) 13 April, 1999 (13.04.99), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)</pre>	,	1 2-4		
X Y	JP 11-146260 A (Canon Inc.), 28 May, 1999 (28.05.99), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)	 	1 2-4		
X Y	JP 9-163215 A (Sony Corp.), 20 June, 1997 (20.06.97), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)		1 2-4		
× Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 18 May, 2004 (18.05.04)			the application but cited to derlying the invention claimed invention cannot be ered to involve an inventive e claimed invention cannot be the when the document is he documents, such in skilled in the art family		
Nama	nailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer	-		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Various office			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP03/16809

C (Continuat	ion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	JP 2002-112099 A (Nikon Corp.), 12 April, 2002 (12.04.02), Full text; all drawings & WO 02/28091 Al	
Y	<pre>JP 6-118468 A (Olympus Optical Co., Ltd.), 28 April, 1994 (28.04.94), Full text; all drawings & US 5365303 A</pre>	2-4
Y .	JP 2002-300459 A (Minolta Co., Ltd.), 11 October, 2002 (11.10.02), Full text; all drawings & US 2002/0167597 Al	2-4
·		
	·	
		·
	·	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP03/16809

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
There exists no characteristic feature common to inventions in claims 1-4 and inventions in other claims.
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable
claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.